Distributed Intelligent Systems for Automation of Manufacture based on Parallel Architectures

Adolfo Bauchspiess, Sadek Crisóstomo Absi Alfaro

GRACO/UnB – Grupo de Automação e Controle - www.graco.unb.br Faculdade de Tecnologia, Universidade de Brasília, 70910-900 Brasília – DF – BRAZIL bauchspiess@graco.unb.br, sadek@graco.unb.br

Wolfgang Trier, Konrad Willms e Paul Drews

European Mechatronic Centre, APS/RWTH – Aachen – www.aps.rwth-aachen.de Reutershagweg 4, Aachen, GERMANY trier@, willms@ & drews@aps.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract: This paper presents and evaluates the concept and implementation of two distinct multisensor systems for automated manufacturing based on parallel hardware. The first system uses **transputers** and the second is based on **DSP's**. In the most sophisticated implementation, 12 processors have been integrated in a parallel multisensor system. Some specialized nodes implement an Artificial Neural Network, used to improve photogrammetry-based computer vision, and Fuzzy Logic supervision of the sensor fusion. Trough the implementation of distributed and intelligent processing units, it is shown that parallel architectures can provide significant advantages compared to conventional bus-based systems. The paper concludes with the comparison of the main aspects of the transputer and the DSP-based implementations of sensor guided robots.

Key Words: Parallel Processing, Automation, Sensors for robots guidance.

1. INTRODUCTION

For the automation of complex manufacturing systems, a great deal of progress came up in the last decade, with respect to precision and on-line documentation (bases for the quality control), (Starke 1983, Strunz 1993, Fuchs et al, 1993, Kovacevic & Zhang 1997). With the advent of electrically driven mechanical manipulators and later the whole, relatively new, multidisciplinary *mechatronic* engineering, the need of information acquisition has increased. The acquisition is, in many cases, distributed trough the system, with strong interaction between the robot and its environment. The design objective is to attain a flexible, lean production. The requirement of real time processing of data from multisensor systems with robustness, in industrial environment, shows the need for new concepts on system integration.

A Multisensor system represents neither the utilisation of many sensors with the same physical nature nor many independent measurement systems, but mainly sensor fusion, the extraction of global information coming from the interrelation data given by each sensor. Some examples are the estimation of the slope of any surface using two or three individual sensors, the simultaneous acquisition of the parameters of the automatic welding process MIG/MAG ("Metal Inert Gas/ Metal Active Gas") or the direct observation of the welding pool related to the control of current, voltage, wire speed and torch welding speed.

This paper will present and compare the results of the implementation of two multisensor system based on parallel architecture. Part of this research was developed in the technical-scientific cooperation agreement between European Mechatronic Centre (APS/RWTH-Aachen – Germany) and GRACO/UnB, (University of Brasilia) – Brazil (Alfaro et al 1997). Additional contribution came from doctoral research in the Institut für Regelungstechnik (IRT - Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg/Germany) (Bauchspiess 1995).

This paper points out the efficiency of distributed multisensor systems in automation of manufacturing processes using robots. The acquired expertise in industrial welding robots and in hydraulic robots both guided by optical sensors are evaluated and compared. In the first case, Transputers were used and in the second case DSP's, forming a digital signal processing net for the processing distribution in the manufacture automation. The sensor fusion concept employing Artificial Neural Networks pattern recognition and Fuzzy Logic supervision were implemented on specialized distributed processing units.

2. AUTOMATION OF PROCESSES WITH DISTRIBUTED PROCESSING

The data to be processed for process control in the case of a multisensor system are, by nature, parallel and decentralised. Those data appear without a consistent temporal interrelation in many points of the process, sometimes simultaneously or displaced in time. There is no coupling among sensors and those can acquire new data in an autonomous way. Consequently the distributed processing is the most suitable in this case.

This characteristics lead to the problem of measurement interrelation of individual sensors for giving significant global information, the so called sensor fusion (Kam et al 1997). Conventional systems based on bus, with the typical problems between Master and Slave, have deep restrictions concerning computational performance (Hwang 1993, Alexander et al 1996). It seems that, in this case, it is appropriate to use parallel architectures which, in modular and stepping form, is adequate to the specific problem, without the need for relevant changes in hardware.

As in many typical industrial applications, the reason for using parallel processing was the possibility of processing distribution in a simple and cheap way, allowing also future expansions in a natural way, for upgrading the characteristics of this architectures. The choice of processors used were made based on computational performance and cost. Massively Parallel Computing, as refered in many high-end applications (Krikelis & Lea 1996), was not taken into consideration here for parallel processing, because in process automation fine granularity is often not given (Hwang 1993).

In the following sections, two robotic systems will be presented: a welding cell guided by sensors and a hydraulic manipulator for heavy load.

2.1 A sensor guided welding cell

The main tasks in welding automation are the guiding of the robot movements, allowing the welding torch to be always inside the welding joint and controlling the welding parameters such as current, voltage, wire feed rate, heat input, etc.

For the control of welding processes, in an integrated intelligent welding cell, developed at European Centre of Mechatronic-Aachen, a video system has been coupled to the torch, for direct observation of the welding pool and a sensor for optical seam tracking (scanner) put in front of the torch ("look-ahead"). The main goal was the acquisition and processing of all the information of the welding process in the same way that a welder would do it. Figure 1 shows a diagram of the physical construction of the sensor system and its main functions.



Figure 1 - Set up and functional main units of the multisensor welding system (APS-Aachen).

The sensors were used in such a way that they allow not only process control but also automatic and detailed recording of the quality of the process. An exhaustive quality protocol is becoming a requirement for the manufacturing in the industry, aiming the total quality control of the production (specification ISO 9000).

2.2 A sensor guided hydraulic manipulator

For many processes, the power required for the manipulator to transport heavy load could only be suplied trough hydraulic drivers. Typically, hydraulic robots have a weight to load ration of 1:5 and, in the other hand, for electric driven robots the usual ratio is about 1:35 (ABB 1991). Those systems show, from the point of view of control, big difficulties, due to the extremely non-linear characteristics of this type of drivers. Nevertheless, modern control techniques such as the highest derivative control and the exact linearization, act together with the availability of high computational performance allowing drifting this drawback of hydraulic drivers and reach the requirement precision (Bauchspiess, 1995). To study different control strategies for hydraulic systems, an hydraulic manipulator with two degrees of freedom was constructed at IRT-Erlangen, as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2 - Hydraulic Manipulator guided by a CCD camera (IRT-Erlangen).

The open architecture of this system allows evaluating different control techniques without the usual difficulties found in commercial industrial robots. Both the joint control and sensor guided path tracking could be exhaustively studied. Particularly the path model based predictive servocontrol (FMP - Following Model Predictive), proved excellent tracking performance, offsetting virtually the track error typical of intrinsically inert mechanical systems (Bauchspiess 1997).

2.3 The components of the sensor guided welding cell

The parallel processing system for manufacturing at APS-Aachen ("*ParMan - Parallel Manufacturing System*") is based on a parallel processing architecture. The technology used was a Transputer T805 and the hybrid system T805/MC601. A PC was used as host and for the process visualization over a user friendly interface.



Figure 3 - Parallel multisensor system "ParMan", indicating employed transputer nodes.

The connection of the individual sensors is done trough transputer links, providing practically a 1,6 *Mbytes/s* transmission rate. Each sensor allows rates around 150 bytes per read and a maximum of 25 reads per second.

The welding joint sensor

A system of optical sensoring has been developed in order to allow the gathering of information about the geometry of the welding joint, aiming to set right the position of the welding torch, as well as the control of the welding process. This system uses, as measurement principle, triangulation scanning to obtain the shape of the gap in the welding joint (Drews & Willms 1996).



Figure 4 - Set up and obtained typical image of the welding pool.

It was important to develop the data acquisition system with a high measurement confidence (noise rejection). So, two triangulation procedures for acquisition of the distance of the welding plate in each scanning were used. This special construction allows compensation of imperfections in data caused by shadows in the surface topology or by the influence of reflection conditions. In comparison with a 3D measurement system that uses a CCD-camera and a striper laser light projected by cylindrical lens (Alfaro et al 1997) or by a holographic gride, the actual proposed system shows much higher noise protection, because all the laser power is focused in only one light spot. According to the quality of the received signal (diffuse reflection, opaque surface etc.), light intensity adaptation can be made. The signal conditioning and the control of the sensor have been implemented by the parallel processing architecture shown in Figure 3.

A T222 processor controls the galvanometric deflection mirror and a T805 processor is in charge of the signal interpretation, coming in each CCD array of 1024 elements, to determine the measured distance. A master processor is in charge of the parallel processing coordination and evaluation of the distance signal sequence, giving, at the end, the welding joint geometry. At this point, different modules were developed, that can be used for different types of welding joints using prior knowledge. Moreover, the Master decides which of the two values of distance (or a combination of both) can be used as distance signal after a plausibility evaluation. With this sensor, measurements can be obtained at frequencies up to 20 Hz.



To supervise this vision system, a Fuzzy Logic based System was used to determine if the welding torch was inside the welding joint, Figure 6. If the system does not do this, the whole analytical processing is meaningless. 27 Rules relating *Slope* (*S*), *Bend* (*B*) and *Slope time derivate* (dS/dt) were established to represent the knowledge of an experienced welder (Drews & Trier 1994).



Figure 6- Welding inside and outside the joint. Graphical illustration of the Fuzzy decision process.

The welding power source operation

The power source operation involves monitoring signals and generating commands over the AD/DA interface; this signals are protected against welding current interference by opto-couplers. Processing capability and high transmission rates were

not necessary. Nevertheless, the parallel driving of channels by T222 Transputers simplified the implementation.

2.4 The components of the hydraulic system

The hydraulic manipulator was built using aluminium profiles, in order to keep the robot weight as low as possible. The nominal load at the TCP that can be carried at 2m/s is 30Kg. An hydraulic aggregate furnishes a 150 bar oil pressure to feed the hydraulic cylinders. A Digital Signal Processor based system was used to control the hydraulic system. This processing system was built with two 32 bit floating point DSP32C and two 16 bit fixed point DSP16A. As hosts, two PC 486 were used, which provide the user interface, as sketched in Figure 7.

The sensor system for robot non-linear control

To implement the non-linear control of the robot, *resolvers* were coupled to each joint axis to measure the respective joint position. Pressure sensors coupled to each cylindrical chamber of both hydraulic drivers give access to the pressure difference that drives each robot joint. This sensor data were used to feed a non-linear observer implemented in a *quasi* continuous manner, i.e., with very high sampling rate. The observer reconstructs the process state variables, which are necessary to implement the robot non-linear control scheme. As a result, we have a linear decoupled dynamic system in the whole robot workspace. So, path tracking (servocontrol) can be designed independently for each degree of freedom of the robot.



Figure 7 - Distributed DSP-based control system for the hydraulic robot.

In general, sensors supply environment and/or task-related information in a coordinate system that is different than that used to describe the robot kinematics. It was verified by experiments that, in order to preserve the joint decoupling, it is better to process sensor measurements in the robot joint coordinate system (Bauchspiess 1995).

The optical trajectory sensor

In order to guide the hydraulic manipulator in velocities up to 2 *m/s*, a CCD-camera with a very high frame rate was used in an "*eye-in-hand*" configuration. In the used resolution of 128 by 128 pixels, rates up to approximately 1000 *frames/sec* could be obtained. This high frame rate virtually eliminate *blurring effects*, that occur when the CCD exposition time is high relatively to the TCP velocity.

High frame rates demand custom hardware in order to process the video information in real-time. So, a dedicated Frame-Grabber was designed and implemented in Erlangen, with facilities that enable a 16 *bit* fixed-point DSP16A to perform the online image parameter extraction, Figure 8. The main features are: binarization, masking (of noisy bits), line-wise *Running Length* compression and dual-port video memory. Particularly, the line-wise *Running Length* enables fast border detection. Figure 9 shows the Off-Line programming system used in the adopted *Hardware-in-the-loop* development approach (Bauchspiess, 1995).



Figure 8 - Very High-Speed Frame-Grabber with border extraction facilities in Hardware.

First tests on predictive robot guidance used *transparent* images, i.e., images without masking or compression. In order to enhance the photogrammetric precision of the 128x128 image, gray-value based linear interpolation of points around the threshold was employed. Ten points searched in the vision field on the tracked border are transformed in robot joint coordinates and then *spline*-interpolated. Set points for each joint are obtained by evaluating the analytical *spline* considering a pre-programmed velocity profile.



Figure 9 – Off-Line programming environment for sensor guided robots and typical processed image obtained by the high speed Frame-Grabber (IRT-Erlangen).

To measure the actual Tool Center Point (TCP) trajectory of robotic manipulators, a robust and highly precise Neural Network based computer vision system has been developed. It is based on real-time pattern recognition of image sequences obtained by the 'eye-in-hand' CCD-Camera (Bauchspiess, Wagner & Benker, 1997). Because the pattern is simple and well defined, a very high subpixel precision can be obtained, which gives precise and fast measurements despite the use of a low resolution camera. The first results were obtained using laser printed trajectories, Figure 10. Currently, this technique is beeing implemented for welding seam tracking of an ABB IRB2000 robot. Projected structured light techniques are being employed.



Figure 10 - Tool Center Point measuring using reticulate pattern recognition. Typical image sequence. Cartesian error of the Back-propagation Neural Network evaluated trajectory.

3. MULTISENSOR INTEGRATION

Besides the acquisition and parallel processing of information coming from very different sensors, another key point is the integration of the information (Drews & Willms, 1996). This data fusion concerns the consideration of all relevant aspects of a manufacturing process.

The information flow can be grouped in tree phases. These are: process monitoring data, validation of these information and the interrelation of variables to obtain process parameters and actuating signals. This is shown schematically in Figure 11.

Typical process parameters such as welding pool width, voltage, current, torch velocity etc., are combined using a process model to obtain a complete description of the welding state. The multisensor can deliver specific process information and global values concerning:

- Motion control based on local path tracking;
- Process control and monitoring;
- Quality control.



Figure 11 - Multisensor information flow.

4. TRANSPUTER X DSP's

The experience of implementing distributed processing systems on two distinct parallel platforms allows some interesting conclusions concerning the applicability of parallel digital architectures in the context of manufacturing process automation.

Digital Signal Processors (DSP's) have greater computational speed, mainly due to a Wallace Tree Hardware implementation of the floating point multiplication unit (AT&T, 1989). The iNMOS T805 Transputer implements floating point multiplication using microcode (iNMOS, 1992), a technique to reduce costs. A great difficulty using the specialized DSP's in automation and control is that they were conceived to implement efficiently the internal product, the basic operation of digital processing. They do not have facilities in their hardware architecture or development environment to implement parallel or concurrent processing, because the provision of resources for event handling could slow down the main processing. The implementation of a real-time Kernel to manage multiprocessing was not possible on the DSP32C from AT&T, due to the impossibility of saving certain CPU status bits (necessary to enable context switching). Only one interrupt level is implemented. Twenty 24 bit registers, four 32 bit floating point accumulators and status and control registers form a register set. Two such banks are available. To reduce interrupt latency these two register sets are swapped when entering the interrupt routine. There is no support to save registers automatically on the stack. Indeed, in most typical signal processing applications this is not necessary.

The adopted solution to use DSP number crunching capability in the implementation of the non-linear process control was to dedicate one DSP32C to the robot joint control and another DSP32C to trajectory planing and predictive servocontrol. Both CPU's stay in waiting loops, waiting for interrupts that signal different events. The communication between the units was carried out on a dedicated DT-Connection 16 bit parallel interface.

On the other hand, Transputers have innate parallel processing facilities to help distributed tasks that would control the process in real time (using concurrent C-toolset, OCCAM etc.). Another advantage is the great modularity of transputer systems, which enables easy reconfiguration of the transputer net topology. The concurrent software support permits a natural integration of individual sensors, making it easy to derive global process information.

Thus, because of the gross granularity of typical robot automation cells, it seems to be more effective to combine these computing architectures, trying to maintain a reasonable modularity. Fast algorithm-specialized DSP's should be used as front-end processors, integrated trough parallelism-specialized transputer networks. This approach is also suggested by (Färber et alli 1991, Fröhlich et alli, 1991, Lim et al, 1996).

5. CONCLUSION

By implementation of multisensor systems using distributed modules, it was shown that parallel processing architectures present considerable advantages when compared with standard bus-based systems.

As described in this paper, it was possible to automate the whole metal welding process in MIG/MAG technology, using parallel processing units. The implemented distributed parallel processing system used 12 transputers in their most complete version. The main advantages of the proposed parallel approach lies in the scalability of computational processing capacity and capability for easy integration of different sensor types due to a modular hardware platform. This approach is very interesting for industrial applications because a good price/performance relation can be achieved.

When high computational speed is needed, as in the calculation of non-linear control schemes, the signal processor is superior. An effective solution is to combine *both* architectures, i.e., algorithm-specialized DSP processors interconnected with process modules (sensors & actuators) using modular real-time supporting Transputer units.

6. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The systems discussed in this work demonstrate how complex processes can adequately be controlled and monitored using distributed intelligence. There is, however, a central instance, in the form of an information manager. The trend would be to avoid this central unit by the use of process-specific expert knowledge. This would avoid bottlenecks that appear in more sophisticated applications. A solution could be conceived in the form of co-operating autonomous units, which could be implemented using the concept of *agents* (Brooks & Connell, 1986).

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungs Gemeinschaft (DFG) and by a co-operation program of APS-Mechatronik/Aachen -GRACO/UnB sponsored by DAAD - Germany and CAPES/CNPq - Brazil.

REFERENCES

- Alexander, W.E.; Reeves, D.S.; Gloster Jr. C.S.: Parallel Image Processing with the Block Data Parallel Architecture. Proceedings of the IEEE - Special Issue on Parallel Architecture for Image Processing, July 1996, Vol. 84, No7, pp 947-968
- Alfaro, S.C.A.; Bauchspiess, A.; Casanova Alcalde, V.H.; van Els, R. H.; Soares Jr, L.R.; Suguieda, M.H., 1997: Conception and development of an integrated welding cell, 8th International Conference on the Joining of Materials, JOM-8, Helsingør, Denmark, May 11-14, 1997
- Bauchspiess, A., Alfaro, S. C. A.: Predictive sensor guided robots in welding cells, IEEE/RSJ 10th International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems - IROS'97, 7-11 Sept. 97, Grenoble -France
- Bauchspiess, A.; Wagner, B.; Benker, P., 1997: Neural Network Pattern Recognition for Robust and Highly Precise TCP Trajectory Measuring for Industrial Robots, III CBRN – Congresso Brasileiro de Redes Neurais, July 21-24 1997, Florianópolis, SC/Brasil
- Bauchspiess, A.: Prädiktive sensorgesteuerte Bahnführung von Handhabungssystemen, Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, 1995, in german
- Brooks, R.A.; Connell, J.H.: Asynchronous Distributed Control System for a Mobile Robot. Proc. SPIE 1986, Mobile Robots, 727.1986 pp. 77-84
- Drews, P.; Trier, W.: Einsatz paralleler Sensordatenverarbeitung und einer Fuzzy Entscheidungsunterstützung, Parallele Datenverarbeitung mi dem Transputer, J. Hektor, R. Grebe (Hrsg.), Informatik aktuelle, Springer-Verlag 1994, pp 263 ff, *in german*
- Drews, P. & Willms, K.: Multisensorsystem zur Erfassung der Prozeßumwelt beim Schutzgasschweißen. Bänder, Bläche, Rohre 1/2, Februar 1996, pp 36-46, *in german*
- Duelen, G.; Bernhardt, R.; Katschinski, V. & Ozdes, D.: Seam-Welds Inspection of Underwater Structures using Submersible Mobile Robot. In 'Information Processing in Autonomous Mobile Robots' -Proceedings of the International Workshop, Springer-Verlag, pp. 311-329, March 6-8, 1991
- Färber, F.; Helling, S.; Ruß, A.: Architectural Features of Computer Systems for Autonomous Mobile Robot Applications. In 'Information Processing in Autonomous Mobile Robots' - Proceedings of the International Workshop, Springer-Verlag, March 6-8, 1991, pp. 247-263
- Fröhlich, C.; Freyberger, F.; Karl, G. & Schmidt, G.: Multisensor System for na Autonomous Robot Vehicle. In 'Information Processing in Autonomous Mobile Robots' - Proceedings of the International Workshop, Springer-Verlag, March 6-8, 1991, pp. 61-76
- Fuchs, K.; Trier, W.: A Transputer Based Vision System for Industrial Robots, Proceedings 'Euromicro Workshop on Parallel and Distributed Processing', Gran Canaria, January 27-29, 1993, pp. 369-374.
- Krikelis, A.; Lea, R.M.: A Modular Massively Parallel Computing Approach to Image-Related Processing. Proceedings of the IEEE - Special Issue on Parallel Architecture for Image Processing, July 1996, Vol. 84, No7, pp 988-1004
- Hwang, K.: Advanced Computer Architecture Parallelism, Scalability, Programmability, McGraw-Hill, 1993
- Kovacevic, R.; Zhang, Y.M.: Neurofuzzy Model-Based Weld Fusion State Estimation, IEEE Control Systems, Vol. 17, No. 2, April 1997, pp. 30-42
- Kam, M.; Zhu, X., Kalata, P.: Sensor Fusion for Mobile Robot Navigation. Proceedings of the IEEE, Special Issue on Data Fusion, January 1997, Vol.85, No.1, pp. 108-119
- Lim, S.Y.; Dawson, D.W.; Vedagarbha, P.: Advanced motion control of mechatronic systems via a highspeed DSP and a parallel processing transputer network, Mechatronics, Vol. 6, No 1, 1996, pp.101-122.
- Starke, G.: "Nahtführungssensor zur adaptiven Steuerung von Handhabungseinrichtungen zum Lichtbogenschweißen", Ph.D. Thesis, RWTH-Aachen, 1983, in german
- Strunz, U.: "Umgebungsmodellierung und sensorunterstützte Navigation für mobile Roboter", Ph.D. Thesis, RWTH-Aachen, 1993, in german
- iNMOS / SGS-Thomson Microeletronics: The Transputer Databook, 1992
- AT&T: DSP32C and DSP16A User Manuals, 1989
- ABB: IRB2000 User Manual & Programming Manual, 1991